

# TRENDS



## The Cost of Living



Each measure  
has its own  
method,  
focus, and  
results

# ALASKA ECONOMIC TRENDS

<http://www.labor.state.ak.us/research/research.htm>

June  
2000  
Volume 20  
Number 6

ISSN 0160-3345

*Alaska Economic Trends* is a monthly publication dealing with a variety of economic-related issues in the state.

*Alaska Economic Trends* is funded by the Employment Security Division and published by the Department of Labor and Workforce Development, P.O. Box 21149, Juneau, Alaska 99802-1149.

Printed and distributed by Assets, Inc., a vocational training and employment program, at a cost of \$.82 per copy.

For more information, call the AKDOL Publications Office at (907) 465-6018 or email the authors.

Material in this publication is public information and, with appropriate credit, may be reproduced without permission.

*Trends* is available on the Internet. See URL above.

Tony Knowles, Governor of Alaska

Ed Flanagan, Commissioner of Labor  
and Workforce Development

*Joanne Erskine, Editor*

Email *Trends* authors at:

[John\\_Boucher@labor.state.ak.us](mailto:John_Boucher@labor.state.ak.us)

[Neal\\_Fried@labor.state.ak.us](mailto:Neal_Fried@labor.state.ak.us)

June *Trends* authors are Labor Economists in the Research and Analysis Section, Administrative Services Division, Department of Labor and Workforce Development. John Boucher is based in Juneau. Neal Fried is based in Anchorage.

Subscriptions: [Stephanie\\_Stutler@labor.state.ak.us](mailto:Stephanie_Stutler@labor.state.ak.us)

(907) 465-4500

---

## Contents:

<a href="#">The Cost of Living in Alaska</a>	3
Each measure has its own methods, focus, and results	
<a href="#">Employment Scene</a>	18
2000—Off to a Modest Start	

---

# The Cost of Living in Alaska

by John Boucher  
Labor Economist

## Each measure has its own methods, focus, and results

**H**ow expensive is it to live in Alaska? What is the rate of inflation in Alaska? These are two of the questions most frequently asked of the Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development's Research and Analysis Section. In answer to these questions, this article provides some of the latest cost-of-living measurements available for Alaska and explains the uses and limitations of these data.

### A measure of inflation or cost differentials?

Two types of cost-of-living measurements are available for Alaska. If you are interested in how prices have changed in a particular place, commonly referred to as the inflation rate, you should use the Consumer Price Index (CPI). If you're interested in cost differences between two places—"Is it more expensive to live in Fairbanks than Seattle?"—then a cost-of-living measurement like the American Chamber of Commerce Researchers Association (ACCRA) index or the Runzheimer International study would best suit your needs.

### Be aware of the method and the market basket


Since it is too expensive to monitor the price of every item available to purchase, cost-of-living surveys track prices of a sample of items from common expenditure categories (such as housing expenses, medical expenses, food expenses,

etc.). This sample of items is called the survey's market basket. Most surveys gear their market baskets toward a "typical" consumer.

When using a cost-of-living survey, it is advisable to know what the survey's market basket contains and whose buying habits the survey simulates. All surveys give a list of the items in the market basket and define the type of consumer(s) the market basket represents. For example, the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U) is designed to represent about 87 percent of the total U.S. population, based on the 1990 Census. The other surveys in this article have a narrower focus.

## Medical Costs Soar But housing holds down inflation

Anchorage CPI-U for selected components 1982-present



Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics

# 2 Consumer Price Index U.S. City and Anchorage

All items annual averages

Year	U.S. City Average	Percent Change from Prev. Yr.	Anchorage Average	Percent Change from Prev. Yr.
1960	29.6		34.0	
1961	29.9	1.0	34.5	1.5
1962	30.2	1.0	34.7	0.6
1963	30.6	1.3	34.8	0.3
1964	31.0	1.3	35.0	0.6
1965	31.5	1.6	35.3	0.9
1966	32.4	2.9	36.3	2.8
1967	33.4	3.1	37.2	2.5
1968	34.8	4.2	38.1	2.4
1969	36.7	5.5	39.6	3.9
1970	38.8	5.7	41.1	3.8
1971	40.5	4.4	42.3	2.9
1972	41.8	3.2	43.4	2.6
1973	44.4	6.2	45.3	4.4
1974	49.3	11.0	50.2	10.8
1975	53.8	9.1	57.1	13.7
1976	56.9	5.8	61.5	7.7
1977	60.6	6.5	65.6	6.7
1978	65.2	7.6	70.2	7.0
1979	72.6	11.3	77.6	10.5
1980	82.4	13.5	85.5	10.2
1981	90.9	10.3	92.4	8.1
1982	96.5	6.2	97.4	5.4
1983	99.6	3.2	99.2	1.8
1984	103.9	4.3	103.3	4.1
1985	107.6	3.6	105.8	2.4
1986	109.6	1.9	107.8	1.9
1987	113.6	3.6	108.2	0.4
1988	118.3	4.1	108.6	0.4
1989	124.0	4.8	111.7	2.9
1990	130.7	5.4	118.6	6.2
1991	136.2	4.2	124.0	4.6
1992	140.3	3.0	128.2	3.4
1993	144.5	3.0	132.2	3.1
1994	148.2	2.6	135.0	2.1
1995	152.4	2.8	138.9	2.9
1996	156.9	3.0	142.7	2.7
1997	160.5	2.3	144.8	1.5
1998	163.0	1.6	146.9	1.5
1999	166.6	2.2	148.4	1.0
2nd half '90	132.6	5.8	120.4	7.0
2nd half '91	137.2	3.5	124.7	3.6
2nd half '92	141.4	3.1	129.1	3.5
2nd half '93	145.3	2.8	132.8	2.9
2nd half '94	149.3	2.8	135.8	2.3
2nd half '95	153.3	2.7	139.5	2.7
2nd half '96	157.9	3.0	143.7	3.0
2nd half '97	161.2	2.1	145.4	1.2
2nd half '98	163.7	1.6	147.0	1.1
2nd half '99	167.8	2.5	148.3	0.9

1982-1984=100

Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics

## The CPI—the nation's inflation measure

The majority of requests for Alaska's cost of living ask about the inflation rate. The Consumer Price Index is a national survey designed to answer questions about price changes. CPI information often is used to adjust rents, wages or other monetary payments for the effects of inflation.

To produce the CPI, the U.S. Department of Labor's Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) gathers prices in 87 urban areas throughout the country. Because Anchorage is the only city in Alaska surveyed, the Anchorage CPI is the only "Alaska" inflation measure. Unfortunately, it may not reflect price changes in every area of the state. In general, however, Anchorage price trends reflect changes in the cost of living for most Alaskans. If the Anchorage CPI doesn't adequately measure inflation in your area, you can choose a different area to measure inflation. Some users prefer to use Seattle's CPI, for example. But as a matter of practice, most Alaska users prefer to use the Anchorage CPI rather than another area's CPI.

From an official standpoint, the U.S. Department of Labor, BLS, recommends using the national CPI-U (U.S. City Average) to adjust for the effects of inflation. BLS recommends this because the smaller size of the local area samples makes them more prone to measurement errors. When the Anchorage and the U.S. City CPIs since 1960 are compared, inflation has been significantly lower in Anchorage than in the rest of the nation. (See Exhibit 2.) This is predominately due to the difference in the rate of inflation for housing costs in Anchorage compared to the other areas in the CPI survey.

## Housing key to Anchorage inflation rate

Analyzing inflation rates among expenditure categories can help clarify how different parts of the market basket affect the overall CPI. For example, since the early 1980s, medical care costs have risen more rapidly than the overall Anchorage CPI, while housing costs have tended to lag behind the overall rate of inflation. (See Exhibit 1.)

While medical care costs have shot up in recent years, overall inflation has not followed. That's because the average consumer spends a much smaller amount on medical care than on housing. When the CPI is

calculated, each commodity group is given a weight, or measure of its contribution to the overall cost of living. Medical care costs, for example, accounted for 5.7% of the total cost of living in the December 1998 index. Housing costs, on the other hand, accounted for 41.4% of the Anchorage CPI during the same period. (See Exhibit 3.)

The strong influence that housing costs have on the overall Anchorage CPI has been particularly noticeable during the last 15 years. From 1986 to 1988, falling housing costs offset increases in other components of the CPI, resulting in low inflation during these three years. The increase in inflation in Anchorage during the early 1990s was largely due to a tightening housing market. When the housing component jumped from a 0.9% increase in 1989 to a 7.9% increase in 1990, Anchorage inflation followed suit, going from a 2.9% to a 6.2% increase. From 1990 to 1993, a tighter housing market propelled Anchorage's inflation rate above the rest of the nation's. Recently, Anchorage's housing market has cooled off and so has inflation.

The housing component is unique in the CPI, especially in regard to home ownership costs. The CPI uses a method called rental equivalency. This method assumes that a homeowner's shelter costs equal what it would cost to rent their house on the open market. This method has some shortcomings. In areas where housing prices and/or rents are changing rapidly, the inflation rate for the housing portion of the CPI could be exaggerated for homeowners who have a long-term, fixed-rate mortgage. During periods of rapidly declining rents and/or house prices homeowners with fixed rate mortgages do not experience lower housing costs, and their other costs may continue to increase. The overall CPI can understate inflation for them. To measure inflation without the housing component, BLS publishes a special index, which excludes housing-related costs—the All Items less Shelter Index. (See Exhibit 4.) When comparing the national All Items Less Shelter Index to the Anchorage All Items Less Shelter Index, there is a much smaller difference in the rate of inflation for Anchorage consumers over the long term than is indicated by comparing the All Items indexes.


## CPI measures inflation, not costs between locations

Users of the CPI should be aware of a common misinterpretation of this index. It occurs when users compare CPI numbers among areas. For example, at 148.4, the annual average Anchorage CPI for 1999 is lower than that of the United States as a whole at an annual average of 166.6. This does not mean that Anchorage has a lower cost of living than the rest of the U.S. The CPI measures inflation, not costs. The lower Anchorage CPI for 1999 means that Anchorage prices have not risen as quickly as prices in the rest of the U.S. since the early 1980s. (The base period, or when the two indexes equaled 100, is 1982-84.)

## Major CPI revision program under way

To maintain the accuracy of the CPI, a revision of the index occurs approximately every 10 years. The U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of

## Housing is 41% of CPI-U Anchorage—December 1998 3



Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics

Labor Statistics, is currently implementing a multi-year program to update the nation's inflation measure. The latest revision of the U.S. CPI was first published with the release of the January 1998 data. The first published CPI for Anchorage using the revised method was released with the CPI for the first half of 1998. The biggest change in the CPI was the introduction of a new market basket of goods and services. This process updated the market basket using Consumer Expenditure Survey data from 1993-1995. One result was a reweighting of the expenditure categories that comprise the All Items CPI. In that process, some of the component indexes changed significantly. Entertainment, for example, is now called Recreation, and one new major item grouping, Education and Communication, was added.

In addition to the market basket revision, new urban areas replaced 36 of the 87 areas where data are collected. The new geographic distribution of CPI sample areas represents the

population distribution in 1990, replacing a sample that represented the population distribution as of the 1980 Census. The change did not impact the Anchorage CPI, since Anchorage and Honolulu are considered statistical outliers because of their geographical separation from the contiguous United States.

Other changes were implemented as a result of the 1998 CPI revision. Some occurred immediately; others will be phased in over several years. Changes include the introduction of a new sample and item structure for hospital services; a new method of collecting housing data; rebasing the CPI to the 1993-95 period; and numerous technical enhancements related to data collection. Some of these changes took effect with the Anchorage CPI for the first half of 1998; others will be incorporated over time. (For a detailed account of the changes occurring to the CPI, refer to December 1996 issue of the *Monthly Labor Review*.)

## 4 Selected Components of CPI-U: Anchorage and U.S. City annual averages—1983-1999

Year	ALL ITEMS LESS SHELTER				HOUSING				FOOD & BEVERAGES			
	U.S. Average		Anch. Avg.		U.S. Average		Anch. Avg.		U.S. Avg.		Anch. Avg.	
	Percent Change from Prev. Yr.											
1983	99.8	3.7	99.9	3.7	99.5	2.7	99.0	0.8	99.5	2.3	99.7	2.6
1984	103.9	4.1	103.8	3.9	103.6	4.1	102.7	3.7	103.2	3.7	103.2	3.5
1985	107.0	3.0	107.5	3.6	107.7	4.0	103.0	0.3	105.6	2.3	106.2	2.9
1986	108.0	0.9	111.2	3.4	110.9	3.0	102.6	-0.4	109.1	3.3	110.8	4.3
1987	111.6	3.3	115.1	3.5	114.2	3.0	97.5	-5.0	113.5	4.0	113.1	2.1
1988	115.9	3.9	117.8	2.3	118.5	3.8	95.4	-2.2	118.2	4.1	113.8	0.6
1989	121.6	4.9	122.3	3.8	123.0	3.8	96.3	0.9	124.9	5.7	117.2	3.0
1990	128.2	5.4	128.0	4.7	128.5	4.5	103.9	7.9	132.1	5.8	123.7	5.5
1991	133.5	4.1	131.9	3.0	133.6	4.0	111.2	7.0	136.8	3.6	127.7	3.2
1992	137.3	2.8	134.6	2.0	137.5	2.9	116.6	4.9	138.7	1.4	130.3	2.0
1993	141.4	3.0	137.9	2.5	141.2	2.7	121.1	3.9	141.6	2.1	131.2	0.7
1994	144.8	2.4	140.3	1.7	144.8	2.5	122.9	1.5	144.9	2.3	131.9	0.5
1995	148.6	2.6	144.6	3.1	148.5	2.6	124.9	1.6	148.9	2.8	138.5	5.0
1996	152.8	2.8	148.4	2.6	152.8	2.9	127.9	2.4	153.7	3.2	143.4	3.5
1997	155.9	2.0	150.6	1.5	156.8	2.6	129.4	1.2	157.7	2.6	145.8	1.7
1998	157.2	0.8	152.6	1.3	160.4	2.3	131.0	1.2	161.1	2.2	147.3	1.0
1999	160.2	1.9	153.5	0.6	163.9	2.2	132.7	1.3	164.6	2.2	148.4	0.7

Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics

## New formula lowers CPI changes

Effective with the CPI data for January 1999, the Bureau of Labor Statistics adopted a new method of calculating the CPI, lowering the rate of change. The change adopted a new formula for calculating weights of a select group of CPI components. A 1996 report from the Advisory Commission to Study the Consumer Price Index pointed out that the old CPI methodology did not account for the substitution behavior of consumers. (Substitution behavior can't be totally explained here, but it relates to the tendency of consumers to substitute one product for another when prices change.) In response, the Bureau of Labor Statistics adopted methods that better account for this behavior. Both the commission and the Bureau of Labor Statistics estimate this change will reduce the annual rate of change in the CPI by approximately 0.2 percentage points per year. (For a detailed account of the incorporation of a geometric mean into the CPI, refer to the October 1998 issue of the *Monthly Labor Review*. In the June 1999 *Monthly Labor*

*Review* there is a discussion of how method changes implemented since 1978 have affected the calculation of the CPI.)

## Food cost survey provides some Alaska comparisons

There are different studies available to compare living costs among places. Due primarily to methodology differences, each survey shows a different result when comparing living costs among locations.

One cost-of-living measurement is the University of Alaska's *Cost of Food at Home* study. It measures the cost to feed various size families in different locations in Alaska. The food basket provides a minimum level of nutrition to an individual or family at the lowest possible cost. The report also contains comparative information on some utility and fuel costs. One of its strengths is wide geographic coverage of Alaska over a relatively long period of time. For many years,

### Selected Components CPI-U: Anchorage and U.S. City annual averages—1983-1999 (continued)

4

Year	TRANSPORTATION				MEDICAL CARE				APPAREL & UPKEEP			
	U.S. Average	Percent Change	Anch. Avg.	Percent Change	U.S. Avg.	Percent Change	Anch. Avg.	Percent Change	U.S. Avg.	Percent Change	Anch. Avg.	Percent Change
		from Prev. Yr.		from Prev. Yr.		from Prev. Yr.		from Prev. Yr.		from Prev. Yr.		from Prev. Yr.
1983	99.3	2.4	98.5	1.8	100.6	8.8	99.7	5.2	100.2	2.5	101.6	5.2
1984	103.7	4.4	104.6	6.2	106.8	6.2	105.5	5.8	102.1	1.9	101.7	0.1
1985	106.4	2.6	108.2	3.4	113.5	6.3	110.9	5.1	105.0	2.8	105.8	4.0
1986	102.3	-3.9	107.8	-0.4	122.0	7.5	127.8	15.2	105.9	0.9	109.0	3.0
1987	105.4	3.0	111.3	3.2	130.1	6.6	137.0	7.2	110.6	4.4	116.6	7.0
1988	108.7	3.1	113.0	1.5	138.6	6.5	145.8	6.4	115.4	4.3	119.1	2.1
1989	114.1	5.0	116.7	3.3	149.3	7.7	154.4	5.9	118.6	2.8	125.0	5.0
1990	120.5	5.6	120.7	3.4	162.8	9.0	161.2	4.4	124.1	4.6	127.7	2.2
1991	123.8	2.7	121.7	0.8	177.0	8.7	173.5	7.6	128.7	3.7	126.6	-0.9
1992	126.5	2.2	123.3	1.3	190.1	7.4	183.0	5.5	131.9	2.5	130.2	2.8
1993	130.4	3.1	128.8	4.5	201.4	5.9	189.6	3.6	133.7	1.4	131.2	0.8
1994	134.3	3.0	136.9	6.3	211.0	4.8	197.8	4.3	133.4	-0.2	128.9	-1.8
1995	139.1	3.6	143.8	5.0	220.5	4.5	211.6	7.0	132.0	-1.0	130.0	0.9
1996	143.0	2.8	147.2	2.4	228.2	3.5	231.1	9.2	131.7	-0.2	128.7	-1.0
1997	144.3	0.9	147.0	-0.1	234.6	2.8	248.9	7.7	132.9	0.9	127.0	-1.3
1998	141.6	-1.9	144.9	-1.4	242.1	3.2	255.7	2.7	133.0	0.1	125.6	-1.1
1999	144.4	2.0	143.7	-0.8	250.6	3.5	260.8	2.0	131.3	-1.3	125.8	0.2

Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics

the *Cost of Food at Home* study has provided a comparative measure for Alaska locations that no other cost survey covers. Its primary weakness is that it measures only a limited number of food items and some utility costs. Food and utility costs alone can't provide a complete measurement of cost-of-living differences.

Comparing living costs among Alaska communities is complicated by several factors. Some goods and services available in urban areas are not readily available in rural areas. The buying habits of urban residents can vary dramatically from those of rural residents, which can confuse cost-of-living comparisons. The *Cost of Food at Home* survey assumes that all foods are purchased in the

local community. In rural Alaska, food is commonly acquired through subsistence means or from merchants outside of the community. These factors play a significant role in an area's cost-of-living.

## Food costs are higher in rural Alaska

Exhibit 5 shows weekly food costs in 20 communities for a family of four with elementary school-aged children. The December 1999 figures showed that Fairbanks had the lowest food costs of the areas surveyed, followed by Anchorage, Juneau, Ketchikan, and Kenai. The survey has consistently shown that larger cities in Alaska have food costs fairly comparable to those in Anchorage.

## 5 Cost of Food for a Week in 20 Alaska communities—December 1999

Family of four with elementary school-age children

Community	Cost of Food, One Week	Percent of Anchorage
Anchorage	\$99.17	100
Bethel	159.33	161
Cordova	139.47	141
Craig/Klawock	130.46	132
Delta	113.69	115
Dillingham	170.38	172
Fairbanks	97.37	98
Greater Copper River Valley	123.77	125
Homer	157.34	159
Juneau	101.85	103
Kenai-Soldotna	107.81	109
Ketchikan	103.83	105
Kodiak	124.78	126
Matanuska-Susitna	115.59	117
Nome	159.43	161
Sitka	113.41	114
Thorne Bay	133.71	135
Tok	139.39	141
Valdez	114.28	115
Wrangell	114.68	116

Sales tax included in food cost.

Source: Cost of Food at Home for a Week, December 1999. University of Alaska Cooperative Extension Service, U.S. Dept. of Agriculture and SEA Grant cooperating

## 6 Cost of Food for a Week in Eight Alaska Cities

Family of four with elementary school-age children

Mo./Yr.	Anchorage	Fairbanks	Pct. of Anch.	Juneau	Pct. of Anch.
9/78	\$76.67	\$84.15	110	\$73.72	96
9/79	82.18	89.39	109	74.88	91
9/80	88.44	90.54	102	85.92	97
9/81	86.69	98.47	114	93.95	108
9/82	77.30	92.09	119	99.98	129
9/83	81.66	83.79	103	88.62	109
9/84	84.22	91.26	108	91.66	109
9/85	89.06	90.08	101	106.61	120
9/86	87.25	90.61	104	87.65	100
9/87	88.90	85.12	96	88.24	99
9/88	90.99	94.74	104	92.95	102
9/89	93.80	94.33	101	96.73	103
9/90	98.73	103.49	105	100.86	102
9/91	102.84	114.65	111	104.21	101
9/92	100.46	92.31	92	102.62	102
9/93	97.89	93.42	95	103.70	106
9/94	91.32	94.96	104	104.09	114
9/95	89.30	93.26	104	99.38	111
9/96	101.43	96.65	95	96.93	96
9/97	96.57	97.73	101	98.89	102
9/98	98.74	98.35	100	103.08	104
9/99	99.87	98.52	99	104.45	105

(continued page 9)

Overall, food costs tend to have three tiers in Alaska. The largest urban areas have the lowest food costs. Smaller communities on a major distribution system, like a road or the Alaska Marine Highway, tend to have slightly higher costs than the urban areas. The *Cost of Food at Home* study has consistently shown that the highest food costs are found in isolated communities supplied primarily by air. In places such as Bethel, Dillingham, and Nome, food costs are 50 to 75 percent higher than in Anchorage. Although the *Cost of Food at Home* study does not extensively survey remote villages, these areas tend to have even higher costs than the regional centers that are serviced primarily by air.

The urban/rural cost differential in the *Cost of Food at Home* study presents an interesting contrast between Alaska and other areas of the United States. Other surveys show that in the Lower 48, large urban areas tend to have higher living costs, including food costs, than do less populated areas. The opposite is true in Alaska. The cost of food and other basics such as fuel is higher in rural Alaska communities than in the state's urban centers.

Another interesting point about this survey is that the multi-tiered structure of food costs in Alaska has changed little since the late 1970s. Exhibit 6 shows the difference in the cost of food between Anchorage and other Alaska communities. It also shows the changes in costs over time within several communities in the study.

## Cost of Food for a Week in Eight Alaska Cities 1978-1999

Family of four with elementary school-age children

6

Bethel	Pct. of Anch.	Nome	Pct. of Anch.	Kodiak	Pct. of Anch.	Kenai	Pct. of Anch.	Tok	Pct. of Anch.
\$114.05	149	\$118.85	155	-	-	\$82.48	108	-	-
129.16	157	128.67	157	-	-	100.41	122	-	-
130.87	148	131.14	148	99.42	112	120.84	137	108.82	123%
138.66	160	150.27	173	-	-	-	-	114.80	132
125.50	162	149.04	193	-	-	-	-	-	-
128.30	157	130.14	159	104.94	129	86.98	107	-	-
136.54	162	142.07	169	115.97	138	87.97	104	121.66	144
138.13	155	152.41	171	108.17	121	91.47	103	116.19	130
137.96	158	142.04	163	105.49	121	92.78	106	124.18	142
140.81	158	147.96	166	104.39	117	96.95	109	117.51	132
137.57	151	147.69	162	116.68	128	95.53	105	119.69	132
140.65	150	-	-	124.61	133	104.20	111	139.43	149
146.92	149	155.48	157	154.55	157	103.21	105	131.03	133
152.49	148	150.29	146	127.96	124	111.88	109	143.45	139
142.51	142	158.08	157	124.61	124	109.60	109	132.94	132
147.84	151	145.94	149	125.19	128	111.61	114	136.96	140
133.47	146	140.22	154	123.99	136	105.51	116	140.78	154
140.68	158	148.55	166	123.04	138	102.48	115	122.89	138
148.70	147	162.61	160	125.71	124	105.01	104	142.46	140
150.42	156	-	-	123.92	128	104.87	109	-	-
155.24	157	174.27	176	130.04	132	104.13	105	144.67	147
163.11	163	155.29	155	143.81	144	109.58	110	132.61	133

Source: Cost of Food at Home for a Week, Sept. 1978 to Sept. 1999. University of Alaska Cooperative Extension Service, U.S. Dept. of Agriculture and SEA Grant cooperating

## AHFC data provide insight on housing costs

Under the auspices of the Alaska Housing Finance Corporation (AHFC), the Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development conducts a survey of the rental housing market in 10 areas of the state. Since housing is a large portion of most consumer budgets, this information can help gauge the cost of this expenditure, and go a long way in determining an area's overall cost of living. The complete results of the AHFC survey can be found in the Spring 1999 *Alaska Housing Market*

*Indicators* publication. Exhibits 7 and 8 display the monthly rental costs of two-bedroom apartments and three-bedroom single family residences for various locations.

Not surprisingly, there is a wide variance in rental housing costs in Alaska. The local economy, demographic and income trends, available housing stock and vacancy rates are all factors that drive housing costs in an area. The AHFC data show that rentals of two-bedroom apartments are most expensive in Juneau, Kodiak and Valdez, and least expensive in the Kenai-Soldotna area and Wrangell. The market for three-bedroom single family residences is only slightly different. Juneau, Anchorage and Kodiak are the most expensive places to rent these units while the Kenai-Soldotna area and Wrangell are among the least expensive. The displayed rents represent the contract rent plus an adjustment to include the value of utilities included as part of the rental contract.

## 7 Two-Bedroom Apartments Most expensive in Juneau and Kodiak

Median adjusted monthly rent

Anchorage	\$739
Fairbanks	\$722
Homer	\$726
Juneau	\$967
Kenai	\$585
Ketchikan	\$821
Kodiak	\$967
Palmer	\$673
Petersburg	\$788
Seward	\$750
Sitka	\$828
Soldotna	\$577
Valdez	\$888
Wasilla	\$668
Wrangell	\$600

## ACCRA places Alaska cities among most expensive

The American Chamber of Commerce Researchers Association (ACCRA) provides another cost-of-living measure. The ACCRA cost-of-living study compares costs for roughly 300 cities in the United States, including several in Alaska. The ACCRA study is intended to replicate the consumption patterns of a mid-management executive's household.

In the ACCRA study, a standardized list of 59 items is priced during a fixed period of time. The average price data for each urban area are then converted into an index number for each expenditure category. Because of the limited number of items priced, percentage differences between areas should not be treated as exact measures. Small differences should not be construed as significant, or even as a correct indication of which area is the more expensive. Another limitation is that the ACCRA index does

Sources: *Alaska Housing Market Indicators, Spring 1999, Alaska Housing Finance Corporation; Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development, Research and Analysis Section*

not take state and local taxes into account. This is in part due to the difficulty in reliably measuring an area's tax burden.

Four Alaska cities were included in the fourth quarter 1999 ACCRA study. They were Anchorage, Fairbanks, Juneau, and Kodiak. The fourth quarter 1999 ACCRA data show that the Alaska cities are among the 10 highest cost areas surveyed. (See Exhibit 9.) Anchorage had the lowest index of the Alaska cities in the ACCRA study; however, the difference between Anchorage and Fairbanks was relatively small. According to the index, Anchorage and Fairbanks have a cost of living roughly 20-25 percent higher than the all-cities' average. Juneau and Kodiak were 30-35 percent higher than the all-cities' average.

The four Alaska cities in the ACCRA study were among the highest cost cities surveyed for several of the six major components of the ACCRA index. All four cities were in the top 10 in at least half of the categories.

### ACCRA indicates a smaller difference in housing costs

Housing costs have always been thought of as exceptionally high in Alaska. Although they can be high, the ACCRA housing index shows that some areas in the nation, particularly large urban areas, have housing costs that are comparable or much higher. Generally, the lowest rankings for Alaska's cities were in the ACCRA transportation index. The Anchorage utility index was lower than two-thirds of the cities in the ACCRA study.


Comparative figures for Alaska cities and other cities around the nation are presented in Exhibits 10 and 11. Exhibit 10 shows the ACCRA cost of living indexes, while Exhibit 11 contains prices for some of the goods and services in the ACCRA study.

The ACCRA cost-of-living study is designed for spending patterns found in major American urban centers. The data collected in the pricing survey attempt to match the items found in urban areas. This process tends to ignore spending patterns found in atypical areas. For example, the transportation costs in the ACCRA study include items such as bus fare, the price of a gallon of gasoline, and automobile wheel balancing. This method is problematic for Alaska communities where air transportation is a more common, and generally more expensive, mode of travel.

## Single Family Homes

### Most costly in Juneau, Anchorage

Median adjusted monthly rent, three-bedroom residences



Sources: Alaska Housing Market Indicators, Spring 1999, Alaska Housing Finance Corporation; Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development, Research and Analysis Section

## Runzheimer study shows smaller cost-of-living differential

A different approach to calculating living cost differences between cities is reflected in the Runzheimer Living Cost Standards survey. Runzheimer International, a private research firm contracted by the Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development's Workers' Compensation Division, looked at the comparative income necessary to maintain a certain standard of living in different areas of the country as of December 1999. Runzheimer's approach takes into account certain elements left out of the ACCRA cost-of-living measure, such as an area's tax rate.

In the Runzheimer study, a "base" family was created consisting of two parents and two children. They own their home, a recently purchased 1,500-square-foot, single-family home with three bedrooms and 1.5 baths. They drive one automobile, a 1996 Ford Contour, approximately 16,000 miles annually. This family has an income of \$32,000 in Standard City, a fictitious city that has costs close to the median of all the cities in the survey. The standard of living attainable in Standard City was then priced in each of the surveyed areas.

The Runzheimer survey shows that Anchorage and Fairbanks have a slightly higher cost of living than the other areas surveyed, while Juneau's

## 9 20 Highest Cost Urban Areas ACCRA Cost of Living Index—Fourth Quarter 1999

City	All Items Index	Grocery Items	Housing	Utilities	Transportation	Health Care	Misc. Goods & Services
New York, NY	240.1	148.4	486.3	173.3	120.9	185.1	136.2
Boston, MA	136.9	114.5	185.9	134.5	120.1	127.0	113.3
<b>Kodiak, AK</b>	<b>136.2</b>	<b>139.3</b>	<b>137.2</b>	<b>168.6</b>	<b>111.1</b>	<b>156.3</b>	<b>130.5</b>
Washington, DC	131.6	101.3	181.2	94.5	129.8	118.3	115.9
<b>Juneau, AK</b>	<b>130.3</b>	<b>127.2</b>	<b>132.8</b>	<b>148.5</b>	<b>127.6</b>	<b>153.4</b>	<b>122.6</b>
San Diego, CA	126.7	126.2	161.3	101.2	128.0	120.2	104.5
New Haven, CT	125.0	113.9	147.6	167.6	104.7	122.0	107.4
<b>Fairbanks, AK</b>	<b>123.4</b>	<b>113.9</b>	<b>124.6</b>	<b>162.4</b>	<b>113.0</b>	<b>164.1</b>	<b>114.5</b>
Los Angeles-Long Beach, CA	123.0	115.8	152.1	116.8	109.8	113.5	108.6
<b>Anchorage, AK</b>	<b>122.9</b>	<b>124.3</b>	<b>137.1</b>	<b>87.6</b>	<b>102.7</b>	<b>162.8</b>	<b>118.7</b>
Springfield, MA	120.3	118.0	129.5	149.9	111.6	119.9	109.2
Los Alamos, NM	119.5	102.5	164.4	85.9	107.9	110.1	102.6
Hartford, CT	118.8	113.4	128.7	142.6	113.0	136.5	106.4
Homewood, IL	117.9	108.1	129.6	110.7	127.3	124.2	110.8
Pittsburgh, PA	117.3	104.6	135.5	134.8	105.7	107.2	108.7
Palm Springs, CA	116.4	113.6	112.9	147.5	110.9	139.1	111.3
Glenwood Springs, CO	116.3	107.6	143.5	95.3	113.3	110.7	104.2
Philadelphia, PA	116.2	105.1	133.6	130.0	109.2	97.7	108.4
Burlington/Chittendon Co., VT	115.1	106.2	127.7	132.9	100.5	114.0	108.9
Reno-Sparks, NV	113.7	111.3	124.5	93.9	117.3	124.6	107.7

Source: American Chamber of Commerce Researchers Association (ACCRA) Urban Area Index Data, Fourth Quarter 1999 (311 urban areas surveyed.)

cost-of-living index was more than 18 percent higher. The cost of living in these three Alaska locations ranges from 4.3% to 18.4% above Standard City. (See Exhibit 12.) For comparison purposes, many of the cities appearing in the ACCRA data in Exhibits 10 and 11 are included in the Runzheimer data in Exhibit 12.

### Lower taxes contribute to lower living costs

The component indexes of the Alaska cities in the Runzheimer study are generally 6 to 10 percent above the average cost of living, except for Juneau housing component which is 48 percent above the average. The one notable exception in all Alaska locations is the taxation component. The

## Cost of Living for Selected Cities 10 ACCRA Index—Fourth Quarter 1999

	All Items Index	All			Transpor- tation	Health Care	Misc. Goods & Services
		Grocery Items	Housing	Utilities			
<b>West</b>							
<b>Anchorage, AK</b>	<b>122.9</b>	<b>124.3</b>	<b>137.1</b>	<b>87.6</b>	<b>102.7</b>	<b>162.8</b>	<b>118.7</b>
<b>Fairbanks, AK</b>	<b>123.4</b>	<b>113.9</b>	<b>124.6</b>	<b>162.4</b>	<b>113.0</b>	<b>164.1</b>	<b>114.5</b>
<b>Juneau, AK</b>	<b>130.3</b>	<b>127.2</b>	<b>132.8</b>	<b>148.5</b>	<b>127.6</b>	<b>153.4</b>	<b>122.6</b>
<b>Kodiak, AK</b>	<b>136.2</b>	<b>139.3</b>	<b>137.2</b>	<b>168.6</b>	<b>111.1</b>	<b>156.3</b>	<b>130.5</b>
Las Vegas, NV	106.4	117.1	102.2	87.6	123.2	124.1	101.6
Portland, OR	111.7	102.4	124.6	80.3	114.7	123.7	110.2
San Diego, CA	126.7	126.2	161.3	101.2	128.0	120.2	104.5
<b>Southwest/Mountain</b>							
Boise, ID	96.7	97.2	93.9	83.5	105.2	107.4	97.8
Dallas, TX	100.3	98.5	96.1	106.5	106.3	104.2	100.9
Denver, CO	110.3	108.9	126.8	84.7	111.4	119.8	101.5
Phoenix, AZ	102.4	101.7	100.9	102.4	108.7	115.7	100.0
<b>Midwest</b>							
Columbia, MO	97.5	96.0	94.9	92.8	98.6	96.9	101.1
Dayton, OH	98.8	91.4	103.5	101.6	101.8	96.3	97.2
Oklahoma City, OK	92.8	95.5	77.7	96.5	97.2	96.3	101.4
<b>Southeast</b>							
Knoxville, TN	94.3	94.7	85.8	94.2	97.7	95.5	100.1
Orlando, FL	98.9	103.4	98.9	98.9	97.8	112.0	95.2
Raleigh, NC	103.6	104.5	109.6	106.6	96.9	103.8	99.2
<b>Atlantic/New England</b>							
Baltimore, MD	96.0	94.0	92.6	105.6	98.7	94.0	96.9
Boston, MA	136.9	114.5	185.9	134.5	120.1	127.0	113.3
Philadelphia, PA	116.2	105.1	133.6	130.0	109.2	97.7	108.4
Washington, DC	131.6	101.3	181.2	94.5	129.8	118.3	115.9

Source: American Chamber of Commerce Researchers Association (ACCRA) Urban Area Index Data, Fourth Quarter 1999 (311 urban areas surveyed.)

# 11 Average Price for Select Goods and Services In selected U.S. cities—Fourth Quarter 1999—ACCRA

	1 lb. Ground Beef	1/2 gal. Whole Milk	1 doz. Grade A Lg. Eggs	13 oz Coffee (canned)	2 BR Apt. Rent Unfurn. no utils.	House Purchase Price	Total Monthly Energy Cost	1 gal. Gas	Hospital Room/day Semi- private	McDonald's Office Visit Doctor	Quarter pounder w/cheese	Mens' Levi's 501/505
<b>West</b>												
Anchorage, AK	\$1.92	\$2.19	\$1.39	\$3.42	\$791	\$199,352	\$90	\$1.26	\$801	\$83	\$2.76	\$35
Fairbanks, AK	1.65	2.08	1.37	3.24	762	177,000	175	1.38	735	85	2.89	29
Juneau, AK	1.64	2.21	1.32	3.49	864	186,345	160	1.57	550	84	2.93	36
Kodiak, AK	1.49	2.30	1.49	3.39	862	196,667	178	1.63	618	70	2.89	40
Las Vegas, NV	1.74	1.54	1.58	3.37	787	140,112	90	1.35	353	78	2.20	34
Portland, OR	1.53	1.95	1.09	3.43	719	182,509	76	1.42	537	63	2.22	35
San Diego, CA	1.68	2.43	2.07	3.50	975	246,855	104	1.49	777	51	2.36	33
<b>Southwest/Mountain</b>												
Boise, ID	1.69	1.69	0.63	2.83	692	131,300	79	1.49	500	56	2.09	28
Dallas, TX	1.54	1.53	0.85	2.75	811	128,961	111	1.24	560	52	2.12	36
Denver, CO	1.49	2.31	0.89	3.60	788	185,737	82	1.27	574	63	2.03	36
Phoenix, AZ	1.36	1.74	0.71	3.28	662	143,216	103	1.23	605	60	2.21	31
<b>Midwest</b>												
Columbia, MO	1.32	1.70	0.72	2.64	484	142,500	96	1.17	493	46	2.05	33
Dayton, OH	1.45	1.45	0.77	2.74	562	151,266	102	1.16	525	49	2.09	30
Oklahoma City, OK	1.28	1.67	0.77	2.65	550	109,204	96	1.19	326	52	1.81	36
<b>Southeast</b>												
Knoxville, TN	1.45	1.69	0.64	2.65	574	122,333	94	1.17	404	56	2.07	30
Orlando, FL	1.51	1.90	0.97	2.62	662	143,262	98	1.25	562	64	0.99	30
Raleigh, NC	1.81	2.05	0.82	2.46	764	153,000	110	1.16	320	64	2.05	31
<b>Atlantic/New England</b>												
Baltimore, MD	1.46	1.75	0.91	2.87	547	137,878	105	1.25	525	51	2.09	31
Boston, MA	1.48	1.85	1.33	2.93	1,288	256,875	138	1.33	694	70	2.38	35
Philadelphia, PA	1.82	1.47	1.22	2.22	744	202,249	137	1.27	460	50	2.16	33
Washington, DC	1.67	1.58	0.89	2.48	1,248	258,555	93	1.36	480	75	1.99	36
ALL CITIES MEAN*	1.45	1.74	0.85	2.81	618	144,820	100	1.25	447	55	2.08	33

\* All cities mean is the arithmetic mean price of all 311 cities in the fourth quarter 1999 survey.

Source: American Chamber of Commerce Researchers Association (ACCRA) Urban Area Index Data, Fourth Quarter 1999

# Runzheimer International Living Cost Standards **12**

December 1999

December 1999	Total Costs	Percent of Standard City	Taxation	Percent of Standard City	Trans- portation	Percent of Standard City	Housing	Percent of Standard City	Misc. Goods & Services, Other	Percent of Standard City
<b>West</b>										
<b>State of Alaska composite</b>	<b>\$34,912</b>	<b>109.1</b>	<b>\$5,252</b>	<b>89.6</b>	<b>\$4,392</b>	<b>106.0</b>	<b>\$14,324</b>	<b>121.3</b>	<b>\$10,944</b>	<b>107.4</b>
<b>Anchorage, AK</b>	<b>33,464</b>	<b>104.6</b>	<b>5,319</b>	<b>90.8</b>	<b>4,504</b>	<b>108.7</b>	<b>12,917</b>	<b>109.4</b>	<b>10,724</b>	<b>105.3</b>
<b>Fairbanks, AK</b>	<b>33,375</b>	<b>104.3</b>	<b>5,342</b>	<b>91.2</b>	<b>4,404</b>	<b>106.3</b>	<b>12,547</b>	<b>106.2</b>	<b>11,082</b>	<b>108.8</b>
<b>Juneau, AK</b>	<b>37,899</b>	<b>118.4</b>	<b>5,096</b>	<b>87.0</b>	<b>4,267</b>	<b>103.0</b>	<b>17,509</b>	<b>148.2</b>	<b>11,027</b>	<b>108.2</b>
Las Vegas, NV	31,452	98.3	5,406	92.3	5,049	121.9	11,040	93.5	9,957	97.7
Portland, OR	34,843	108.9	5,482	93.6	4,084	98.6	14,453	122.4	10,824	106.2
San Diego, CA	40,050	125.2	5,647	96.4	4,509	108.9	19,211	162.7	10,683	104.9
<b>Southwest/Mountain</b>										
Boise, ID	32,027	100.1	5,383	91.9	4,045	97.7	12,826	108.6	9,773	95.9
Dallas, TX	29,414	91.9	6,032	103.0	4,452	107.5	9,036	76.5	9,894	97.1
Denver, CO	34,237	107.0	4,730	80.7	4,665	112.6	14,686	124.3	10,156	99.7
Phoenix, AZ	31,739	99.2	5,394	92.1	4,685	113.1	11,639	98.5	10,021	98.4
<b>Midwest</b>										
Columbia, MO	29,121	91.0	5,906	100.8	3,891	93.9	9,953	84.3	9,371	92.0
Dayton, OH	31,481	98.4	6,671	113.9	3,769	91.0	11,018	93.3	10,023	98.4
Oklahoma City, OK	29,301	91.6	5,964	101.8	4,177	100.8	9,182	77.7	9,978	97.9
<b>Southeast</b>										
Knoxville, TN	29,011	90.7	5,366	91.6	3,845	92.8	9,889	83.7	9,911	97.3
Orlando, FL	29,565	92.4	5,227	89.2	4,109	99.2	10,260	86.9	9,969	97.9
Raleigh, NC	31,399	98.1	6,291	107.4	4,016	97.0	11,506	97.4	9,586	94.1
<b>Atlantic/New England</b>										
Baltimore, MD	33,744	105.5	6,029	102.9	4,334	104.6	12,929	109.5	10,452	102.6
Washington, DC	35,966	112.4	5,809	99.1	4,363	105.3	15,330	129.8	10,464	102.7
STANDARD CITY, USA	32,000	--	5,859	--	4,142	--	11,811	--	10,188	--

Source: Runzheimer's Living Cost Index, December 1999

Runzheimer study indicates that the portion of income that goes to taxes in Alaska is about 10 to 13 percent below the average in Standard City. This is the main reason the Runzheimer index does not show Anchorage's, Fairbanks' and Juneau's living costs as high as the cost of purchasing goods and services would indicate. Another factor to remember is that Runzheimer does not take into account a program like Alaska's Permanent Fund Dividend. If every member of the fictitious Runzheimer family received an Alaska Permanent Fund check, that would add more than \$7,000 to the household's pre-tax income. This amounts to a significant boost in the overall income in this fictional Alaska household.

### Construction costs somewhat follow other surveys

In early 1999, the Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development's Research and Analysis Section conducted the seventh annual survey of the cost of a market basket of construction materials. The survey, commissioned by the Alaska Housing Finance Corporation (AHFC), measures the cost of acquiring building materials necessary to construct a single-family residence at various locations in Alaska. The construction

materials priced represent approximately 30 percent of the total dollar value of a materials list for constructing a model single-family residence.

Construction material costs at 10 Alaska locations were measured, with some of the same patterns evident in other surveys showing in the results. (See Exhibit 13.) Like the other surveys, rural locations tended to have the highest costs. One notable difference about this survey is that Juneau had the lowest construction material costs. No other survey showed Juneau among the lowest costs for any items priced.

### Summary: No one answer to cost-of-living question

When looking at cost-of-living information, first decide what type of comparison needs to be made. Are you interested in how prices have changed over time, or how costs differ between places? The answer narrows the field of appropriate cost-of-living surveys.


Next, decide on the suitability of different surveys. Some surveys look at subsets of the total cost-of-living package, such as the *Cost of Food at Home* study or the AHFC rental costs or construction costs surveys. Some surveys might look at a population unlike the one being studied. The ACCRA survey's mid-management family does not reflect the cost-of-living for poverty income families.

In Alaska, particularly in smaller communities, survey choices are few. Only the *Cost of Food at Home* and surveys conducted for AHFC include more than the largest Alaska cities. These surveys have their limitations in the scope or appropriateness of the goods priced. For this reason, users might be forced to use an index that only approximates cost-of-living differences.

Given their limitations, most cost-of-living indexes involve a compromise answer. Still, the information in this article provides baseline data to help answer these questions. When used with care, the information can help you compare how far your dollar will go.

## 13 Construction Materials Cost More in rural Alaska

Selected residential materials 1999



Sources: Alaska Housing Market Indicators, Fall 1998, Alaska Housing Finance Corporation; Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development, Research and Analysis Section

## **Alaska Cost-of-Living Information on the World Wide Web**

If you need cost-of-living comparisons, particularly if you're contemplating a move to Alaska, there are a number of resources available on the World Wide Web. Here are some sites that have cost-of-living information as well as a wealth of other information about Alaska.

<http://www.labor.state.ak.us/research/relocate/relocmap.htm>

The Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development's relocation site offers cost-of-living information, general information about Alaska, information on employment opportunities, and information about traveling to Alaska.

[http://www.excite.com/travel/countries/united\\_states/alaska/](http://www.excite.com/travel/countries/united_states/alaska/)

Excite Travel's Alaska web site is a rich source of Alaska information. Relocation data are available as well as a variety of other information including links to Alaska city home pages, weather information, businesses, arts, and leisure activities.

<http://www.homefair.com/calc/citysnap.html>

The Homefair City Reports give you a side-by-side comparison of two cities' cost of living, climate, demographics, and other vital information from a database that is kept current with quarterly updates. Homefair City Reports offers one complimentary report with up to two destinations.

<http://www.datamasters.com/cgi-bin/col.pl>

DataMasters Inc., like Homefair City Reports, allows you to compare the level of income needed to maintain the purchasing power you currently have. Not surprisingly, results from the Homefair Reports and DataMasters sites can differ, suggesting that multiple sources and a thorough investigation are your best allies when researching cost-of-living information.

<http://www.virtualrelocation.com>

The Virtual Relocation site is a valuable resource for people considering a move. The Virtual Relocation site provides city cost-of-living comparisons and community profiles. The site also uses ReloSmart software to provide a customized relocation analysis that calculates the effects of a proposed move on salary, housing costs, and taxes.

<http://mazerrecruiters.com/job.htm>

The Maze Recruiters & Associates web site provides a cost-of-living index that incorporates the impact of taxes. The index merges federal, state and local taxes with American Chamber of Commerce Researchers Association (ACCRA) cost of goods and services data to provide a comprehensive cost-of-living index.

# 2000 Off to a Modest Start

Economy shows bright spots and soft spots

## Alaska Employment Scene

by  
Neal Fried  
Labor Economist

**A**laska's economy got off to a modest start during the first three months of 2000. For the first quarter of the year employment is 3,800 jobs ahead of year-ago levels or 1.5% higher. Some immediate positives are high oil prices, low unemployment, and employment growth. Looking at the numbers in detail reveals soft spots as well as strengths.

### Construction and services keep on rolling

The state's construction industry in 2000 continues on its more than decade long roll. Although the economy is several months away from swinging into its peak season, the numbers are already looking good. Construction employment is up nearly 5 percent, making it the top industry performer. All areas of the state but the Northern region are racking up gains. Although commercial activity has slowed, public construction is more than filling the gap, along with more oil industry-related construction. Reconstruction and expansion of the Anchorage International Airport was well on its way in March—this project will remain in the forefront for a number of years. The new courthouse in Fairbanks was also in full swing in March along with a host of other projects. According to the trade magazine, *Pacific Builder*

*and Engineer*, Alaska's year-to-date contract awards through February are running 65 percent ahead of year-ago levels.

In March, three quarters of all new jobs came from the services industry. Employment in nearly every category of this industry is on the rise. Hotels got a big boost in March because the new full service Marriot hotel in Anchorage recruited much of its workforce for its opening late in the month. Technology is helping business services along. Medical care's employment numbers keep climbing with the continued privatization of the Indian Health Service as well as broad industry gains. Social services is another segment of this industry enjoying robust growth. Employment in social services is up by nearly 6 percent or 400 jobs compared to year-ago levels. Increased demand and more federal money are helping fuel this growth. One of the few segments of services that has not grown over the past six years is legal services. The reason for its lackluster performance is not clear.

### Oil industry numbers still coming in negative

One industry still operating in the red is the oil industry. Compared with March of last year, the

employment numbers are still coming in negative. However, the good news is that these losses are narrowing. The bounce back in oil prices and the turnaround in activity on the North Slope, anchored by the development of Alpine and Northstar, are giving this industry and its workers a needed boost. Even activity in Cook Inlet, home of the state's most mature oil fields, is going to pick up this year. Forcenergy plans to install a new exploratory platform this summer and Phillips Petroleum is going to begin a \$30 million upgrade of its Tyonek Platform.

One employment negative of the recently approved ARCO buyout is that Prudhoe Bay will now only have one operator instead of two. The new sole operator will be BPAmoco. The move to a single operator will translate into a smaller workforce because of previous duplication of functions by BPAmoco and ARCO. The size of the reduction is still unknown, but it is expected to be significant. Nearly 800 of ARCO's workforce are tied to Prudhoe Bay.

### Timber and seafood processing see more losses


Timber is one industry that continues to take a big hit. Prolonged low timber prices continue to batter this industry. In March, employment was off by 14 percent. And layoffs continue. For example, Kenai Peninsula's biggest timber player, Circle De was forced to close and Koncor's operations in Kodiak are downsizing. In Southeast, the closure of Metlakatla's sawmill and the shutdown of Shaan Seet's logging operation on Prince of Wales Island have extended the downdrift in timber employment. The 1990s were terrible years for this industry and the new century has not yet brought relief.

The biggest over-the-year losses are coming from seafood processing. Compared to last March, employment was down by a hefty 1,800 jobs.

Without this negative, total employment statewide would have been growing by nearly 2 percent in March. The good news is that these losses could narrow as the year plays out. The principal reason for this year's smaller workforce is the delay until April of the opilio crab fishery. Last year the fishery was in full swing in March. But timing does not explain the entire decline. The harvest quota this year was also smaller. One positive this year is that prices for most species are good and the outlook for salmon prices appears positive. Another bit of positive news for this industry is that the state's newest fishing venture, Alaska Seafood International of Anchorage, was up and running in March with a workforce of 100-plus. What does appear near certain is that as the season moves into full swing, processors will again have a tough time rounding up a workforce because of present tight labor market conditions.

*(continued on page 22)*

## Job Growth Positive in Four Regions } March 1999 to 2000



Source: Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development, Research and Analysis Section

# 2 Nonagricultural Wage and Salary Employment

## By place of work

Alaska	preliminary	revised	Changes from:		
	3/00	2/00	3/99	2/00	3/99
<b>Total Nonag. Wage &amp; Salary</b>	269,200	265,500	266,000	3,700	3,200
Goods-producing	33,200	32,400	35,200	800	-2,000
Service-producing	236,000	233,100	230,800	2,900	5,200
<b>Mining</b>	9,500	9,400	10,000	100	-500
Oil & Gas Extraction	8,200	8,000	8,600	200	-400
<b>Construction</b>	11,500	11,000	11,000	500	500
<b>Manufacturing</b>	12,200	12,000	14,200	200	-2,000
Durable Goods	2,200	1,900	2,500	300	-300
Lumber & Wood Products	1,200	900	1,400	300	-200
Nondurable Goods	10,000	10,100	11,700	-100	-1,700
Seafood Processing	7,400	7,500	9,200	-100	-1,800
<b>Transportation/Comm/Utilities</b>	25,100	24,800	24,000	300	1,100
Trucking & Warehousing	2,800	2,800	2,700	0	100
Water Transportation	1,500	1,400	1,600	100	-100
Air Transportation	9,100	9,100	8,800	0	300
Communications	5,100	5,000	4,300	100	800
Electric, Gas & Sanitary Svcs.	2,600	2,600	2,500	0	100
<b>Trade</b>	54,100	53,300	53,400	800	700
Wholesale Trade	8,600	8,500	8,600	100	0
Retail Trade	45,500	44,800	44,800	700	700
Gen. Merchandise & Apparel	9,100	9,100	8,600	0	500
Food Stores	6,500	6,300	6,800	200	-300
Eating & Drinking Places	15,400	15,100	15,000	300	400
<b>Finance/Insurance/Real Estate</b>	12,600	12,400	12,400	200	200
<b>Services &amp; Misc.</b>	68,900	68,100	66,400	800	2,500
Hotels & Lodging Places	5,600	5,400	5,300	200	300
Business Services	8,200	8,000	8,000	200	200
Health Services	16,300	16,200	15,400	100	900
Legal Services	1,600	1,600	1,600	0	0
Social Services	8,000	7,900	7,600	100	400
Engineering & Mgmt. Svcs.	7,800	7,600	7,700	200	100
<b>Government</b>	75,300	74,500	74,600	800	700
Federal	17,200	16,500	16,300	700	900
State	22,300	22,200	22,200	100	100
Local	35,800	35,800	36,100	0	-300

Municipality of Anchorage	preliminary	revised	Changes from:		
	3/00	2/00	3/99	2/00	3/99
<b>Total Nonag. Wage &amp; Salary</b>	129,800	128,500	126,600	1,300	3,200
Goods-producing	10,900	10,600	10,800	300	100
Service-producing	118,900	117,900	115,800	1,000	3,100
<b>Mining</b>	2,700	2,600	2,900	100	-200
Oil & Gas Extraction	2,600	2,500	2,800	100	-200
<b>Construction</b>	6,100	6,000	5,900	100	200
<b>Manufacturing</b>	2,100	2,000	2,000	100	100
<b>Transportation/Comm/Utilities</b>	14,000	13,800	12,900	200	1,100
Air Transportation	6,000	5,900	5,700	100	300
Communications	3,400	3,400	2,600	0	800
<b>Trade</b>	30,500	30,100	30,300	400	200
Wholesale Trade	6,300	6,200	6,300	100	0
Retail Trade	24,200	23,900	24,000	300	200
Gen. Merchandise & Apparel	4,700	4,600	4,400	100	300
Food Stores	2,600	2,600	2,800	0	-200
Eating & Drinking Places	8,700	8,600	8,600	100	100
<b>Finance/Insurance/Real Estate</b>	7,600	7,600	7,600	0	0
<b>Services &amp; Misc.</b>	37,800	37,600	36,100	200	1,700
Hotels & Lodging Places	2,800	2,700	2,500	100	300
Business Services	5,800	5,700	5,700	100	100
Health Services	8,500	8,500	8,000	0	500
Legal Services	1,200	1,200	1,200	0	0
Social Services	3,900	3,900	3,700	0	200
Engineering & Mgmt. Svcs.	5,900	5,800	5,700	100	200
<b>Government</b>	29,000	28,800	28,900	200	100
Federal	10,000	9,800	9,700	200	300
State	8,900	8,900	8,700	0	200
Local	10,100	10,100	10,500	0	-400

Notes to Exhibits 2, 3, & 4—Nonagricultural excludes self-employed workers, fishers, domestics, and unpaid family workers as well as agricultural workers. Government category includes employees of public school systems and the University of Alaska.

Exhibits 2 & 3—Prepared in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Exhibit 4—Prepared in part with funding from the Employment Security Division.

Source: Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development, Research and Analysis Section

# 3 Hours and Earnings

## For selected industries

	Average Weekly Earnings			Average Weekly Hours			Average Hourly Earnings		
	preliminary 3/00	revised 2/00	3/99	preliminary 3/00	revised 2/00	3/99	preliminary 3/00	revised 2/00	3/99
Mining	\$1,424.89	\$1,526.27	\$1,284.23	55.9	58.5	50.6	\$25.49	\$26.09	\$25.38
Construction	1,127.93	1,151.94	1,161.04	43.1	43.9	46.0	26.17	26.24	25.24
Manufacturing	556.89	592.68	581.54	51.9	56.5	53.5	10.73	10.49	10.87
Seafood Processing	475.68	564.24	520.95	56.9	63.9	57.5	8.36	8.83	9.06
Transportation/Comm/Utilities	671.84	682.41	640.57	34.0	34.5	34.2	19.76	19.78	18.73
Trade	450.24	444.18	421.50	33.5	33.0	32.2	13.44	13.46	13.09
Wholesale Trade	587.68	618.14	614.43	35.9	37.6	36.4	16.37	16.44	16.88
Retail Trade	425.67	413.45	385.59	33.1	32.2	31.4	12.86	12.84	12.28
Finance/Insurance/Real Estate	596.82	585.97	568.56	34.8	34.9	36.8	17.15	16.79	15.45

Average hours and earnings estimates are based on data for full-time and part-time production workers (manufacturing) and nonsupervisory workers (nonmanufacturing). Averages are for gross earnings and hours paid, including overtime pay and hours.

Benchmark: March 1999

Source: Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development, Research and Analysis Section

# 4 Nonagricultural Wage and Salary Employment

## By place of work

	preliminary		Changes from:			preliminary		revised		Changes from:	
	3/00	2/00	3/99	2/00	3/99	3/00	2/00	3/99	2/00	3/99	
<b>Fairbanks</b>											
<b>North Star Borough</b>											
<b>Total Nonag. Wage &amp; Salary</b>	32,300	31,950	31,600	350	700						
Goods-producing	2,600	2,450	2,450	150	150						
Service-producing	29,700	29,500	29,150	200	550						
<b>Mining</b>	800	750	700	50	100						
<b>Construction</b>	1,250	1,150	1,200	100	50						
<b>Manufacturing</b>	550	550	550	0	0						
<b>Transportation/Comm/Utilities</b>	2,900	2,850	2,950	50	-50						
Trucking & Warehousing	550	550	550	0	0						
Air Transportation	800	800	850	0	-50						
Communications	450	450	450	0	0						
<b>Trade</b>	6,500	6,500	6,400	0	100						
Wholesale Trade	750	750	750	0	0						
Retail Trade	5,750	5,750	5,650	0	100						
Gen. Merchandise & Apparel	1,050	1,050	1,050	0	0						
Food Stores	700	700	700	0	0						
Eating & Drinking Places	2,100	2,050	2,000	50	100						
<b>Finance/Insurance/Real Estate</b>	1,150	1,150	1,100	0	50						
<b>Services &amp; Misc.</b>	8,150	8,000	7,950	150	200						
Hotels & Lodging Places	600	550	650	50	-50						
Health Services	2,000	2,000	1,900	0	100						
<b>Government</b>	11,000	11,000	10,750	0	250						
Federal	3,250	3,200	3,200	50	50						
State	4,650	4,600	4,500	50	150						
Local	3,100	3,200	3,050	-100	50						
<b>Southeast Region</b>											
<b>Total Nonag. Wage &amp; Salary</b>	32,600	32,000	32,500	600	100						
Goods-producing	3,750	3,350	3,850	400	-100						
Service-producing	28,850	28,650	28,650	200	200						
<b>Mining</b>	300	300	300	0	0						
<b>Construction</b>	1,400	1,300	1,300	100	100						
<b>Manufacturing</b>	2,050	1,750	2,250	300	-200						
Durable Goods	1,050	850	1,200	200	-150						
Lumber & Wood Products	750	600	950	150	-200						
Nondurable Goods	1,000	900	1,050	100	-50						
Seafood Processing	700	600	750	100	-50						
<b>Transportation/Comm/Utilities</b>	2,250	2,200	2,250	50	0						
<b>Trade</b>	5,650	5,550	5,650	100	0						
Wholesale Trade	600	550	600	50	0						
Retail Trade	5,050	5,000	5,050	50	0						
Food Stores	1,200	1,150	1,250	50	-50						
<b>Finance/Insurance/Real Estate</b>	1,200	1,200	1,200	0	0						
<b>Services &amp; Misc.</b>	7,500	7,400	7,200	100	300						
Health Services	1,750	1,750	1,650	0	100						
<b>Government</b>	12,250	12,300	12,350	-50	-100						
Federal	1,750	1,600	1,600	150	150						
State	5,200	5,300	5,550	-100	-350						
Local	5,300	5,400	5,200	-100	100						
<b>Northern Region</b>											
<b>Total Nonag. Wage &amp; Salary</b>	15,000	14,800	15,500	200	-500						
Goods-producing	5,300	5,200	5,650	100	-350						
Service-producing	9,700	9,600	9,850	100	-150						
<b>Mining</b>	4,500	4,500	4,750	0	-250						
Oil & Gas Extraction	4,100	4,100	4,400	0	-300						
<b>Government</b>	4,500	4,400	4,450	100	50						
Federal	200	150	150	50	50						
State	300	300	300	0	0						
Local	4,000	3,950	4,000	50	0						
<b>Interior Region</b>											
<b>Total Nonag. Wage &amp; Salary</b>	36,950	36,400	36,050	550	900						
Goods-producing	2,850	2,650	2,700	200	150						
Service-producing	34,100	33,750	33,350	350	750						
<b>Mining</b>	950	850	900	100	50						
<b>Construction</b>	1,350	1,250	1,250	100	100						
<b>Manufacturing</b>	550	550	550	0	0						
<b>Transportation/Comm/Utilities</b>	3,400	3,300	3,400	100	0						
<b>Trade</b>	7,100	7,100	6,950	0	150						
<b>Finance/Insurance/Real Estate</b>	1,250	1,250	1,200	0	50						
<b>Services &amp; Misc.</b>	9,000	8,850	8,800	150	200						
Hotels & Lodging Places	750	700	750	50	0						
<b>Government</b>	13,350	13,250	13,000	100	350						
Federal	3,850	3,750	3,700	100	150						
State	4,850	4,800	4,750	50	100						
Local	4,650	4,700	4,550	-50	100						
<b>Anchorage/Mat-Su Region</b>											
<b>Total Nonag. Wage &amp; Salary</b>	142,100	140,400	138,150	1,700	3,950						
Goods-producing	12,050	11,550	11,800	500	250						
Service-producing	130,050	128,850	126,350	1,200	3,700						
<b>Mining</b>	2,750	2,600	2,950	150	-200						
<b>Construction</b>	7,050	6,850	6,700	200	350						
<b>Manufacturing</b>	2,250	2,100	2,150	150	100						
<b>Transportation/Comm/Utilities</b>	15,000	14,850	13,900	150	1,100						
<b>Trade</b>	33,700	33,250	33,200	450	500						
<b>Finance/Insurance/Real Estate</b>	8,100	8,050	8,100	50	0						
<b>Services &amp; Misc.</b>	40,900	40,700	39,000	200	1,900						
<b>Government</b>	32,350	32,000	32,150	350	200						
Federal	10,200	9,950	9,850	250	350						
State	9,800	9,750	9,550	50	250						
Local	12,350	12,300	12,750	50	-400						
<b>Southwest Region</b>											
<b>Total Nonag. Wage &amp; Salary</b>	17,100	16,950	18,550	150	-1,450						
Goods-producing	4,200	4,350	5,800	-150	-1,600						
Service-producing	12,900	12,600	12,750	300	150						
Seafood Processing	4,000	4,200	5,650	-200	-1,650						
<b>Government</b>	5,900	5,750	5,750	150	150						
Federal	450	350	300	100	150						
State	550	500	500	50	50						
Local	4,900	4,900	4,950	0	-50						
<b>Gulf Coast Region</b>											
<b>Total Nonag. Wage &amp; Salary</b>	25,250	24,700	25,150	550	100						
Goods-producing	5,100	5,050	5,350	50	-250						
Service-producing	20,150	19,650	19,800	500	350						
<b>Mining</b>	1,000	1,050	1,100	-50	-100						
Oil & Gas Extraction	1,000	1,050	1,050	-50	-50						
<b>Construction</b>	800	750	750	50	50						
<b>Manufacturing</b>	3,300	3,250	3,500	50	-200						
Seafood Processing	2,450	2,500	2,550	-50	-100						
<b>Transportation/Comm/Utilities</b>	2,200	2,150	2,200	50	0						
<b>Trade</b>	4,950	4,850	4,800	100	150						
Wholesale Trade	550	550	550	0	0						
Retail Trade	4,400	4,300	4,250	100	150						
Eating & Drinking Places	1,350	1,300	1,300	50	50						
<b>Finance/Insurance/Real Estate</b>	800	800	800	0	0						
<b>Services &amp; Misc.</b>	5,350	5,150	5,200	200	150						
Health Services	1,150	1,100	1,100	50	50						
<b>Government</b>	6,850	6,700	6,800	150	50						
Federal	750	650	600	100	150						
State	1,550	1,500	1,600	50	-50						
Local	4,550	4,550	4,600	0	-50						

# 5 Unemployment Rates by Region and Census Area

(continued from page 19)

Not Seasonally Adjusted	Percent Unemployed		
	preliminary	revised	
	3/00	2/00	3/99
<b>United States</b>	4.3	4.3	4.4
<b>Alaska Statewide</b>	6.9	7.5	7.5
<b>Anchorage/Mat-Su Region</b>	5.4	5.8	5.9
Municipality of Anchorage	4.7	5.0	5.1
Mat-Su Borough	8.7	9.4	10.0
<b>Gulf Coast Region</b>	10.6	11.6	11.7
Kenai Peninsula Borough	12.3	13.7	13.8
Kodiak Island Borough	5.6	5.5	5.4
Valdez-Cordova	9.8	10.5	10.5
<b>Interior Region</b>	7.5	8.0	8.3
Denali Borough	12.4	13.3	11.8
Fairbanks North Star Borough	6.7	7.0	7.3
Southeast Fairbanks	12.4	13.9	14.5
Yukon-Koyukuk	16.5	18.3	17.5
<b>Northern Region</b>	10.3	10.6	9.8
Nome	11.5	11.5	11.2
North Slope Borough	7.8	8.0	6.9
Northwest Arctic Borough	12.3	13.0	12.2
<b>Southeast Region</b>	8.1	9.7	9.6
Haines Borough	15.1	14.8	18.0
Juneau Borough	5.3	5.9	6.3
Ketchikan Gateway Borough	9.6	11.1	10.9
Prince of Wales-Outer Ketchikan	16.2	20.4	20.3
Sitka Borough	5.0	6.2	6.4
Skagway-Hoonah-Angoon	9.7	12.7	9.3
Wrangell-Petersburg	10.9	13.9	13.3
Yakutat Borough	11.9	14.1	7.4
<b>Southwest Region</b>	9.6	9.7	8.2
Aleutians East Borough	3.2	3.6	1.9
Aleutians West	7.1	7.1	4.2
Bethel	9.1	9.0	8.0
Bristol Bay Borough	11.9	12.7	11.4
Dillingham	9.2	10.1	7.4
Lake & Peninsula Borough	9.9	9.3	10.2
Wade Hampton	17.1	17.1	16.0
<b>Seasonally Adjusted</b>			
United States	4.1	4.1	4.2
Alaska Statewide	5.8	6.0	6.6

#### March 1999 Benchmark

Comparisons between different time periods are not as meaningful as other time series produced by Research and Analysis. The official definition of unemployment currently in place excludes anyone who has not made an active attempt to find work in the four-week period up to and including the week that includes the 12th of the reference month. Due to the scarcity of employment opportunities in rural Alaska, many individuals do not meet the official definition of unemployed because they have not conducted an active job search. They are considered not in the labor force.

Source: Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development, Research and Analysis Section

## Regionally, economies are a mixed story

Only in the state's larger regions, Fairbanks/Interior and Anchorage/Mat-Su, are the numbers unabashedly positive. (See Exhibit 1.) Neither region has any big negatives on its books. Services and construction are big pluses and most other industries are enjoying, at minimum, small gains. Both Gulf Coast (Kodiak, Prince William Sound and the Kenai Peninsula) and Southeast were barely running in the black in March. Both regions are living with negative numbers in timber and seafood processing. The Gulf's oil industry numbers were also slightly negative. But the bulking up of the federal government's workforce in March for the 2000 Census helped keep employment numbers positive. Southwest's over-the-year job count was negative due to weak fish processing numbers. The Northern region's oil industry and its related employment are preventing employment counts from turning positive.

## The labor market will remain tight

In March the unemployment rate fell to 6.9%, an improvement over the year-ago number (7.5%) yet slightly above the record low set in 1998. This pattern of low jobless rates has held for the first quarter of the year. If this trend continues, many of Alaska's employers will again struggle to find workers, particularly as the fishing, visitor, and construction industries begin to kick into high gear. The positive side of this picture is that it is good news for job seekers. As a result of the tight labor market they have more choices and possibly more competitive wages. There are, however, still many areas in the state where unemployment remains high. For example, the Prince of Wales unemployment rate of 16.2% reflects the woes of the timber industry. Many other areas in rural Alaska are also plagued with jobless rates that remain in the double digits.

# Employer Resources

The Alaska Job Center Network Employer Connection (<http://www.jobs.state.ak.us/employer.htm>) allows employers to recruit applicants from across the state by listing their job openings on Alaska's Job Bank.

ALASKA

**Employer Connection - AJCN - Microsoft Internet Explorer**  
 Address: <http://www.jobs.state.ak.us/employer.htm>

Welcome to the Alaska Job Center Network  
**Employer Connection**

AJCN staff help employers recruit qualified workers by listing job openings in each [Alaska Job Center](#) across the state, on [Alaska's Job Bank](#), and on [America's Job Bank](#).

**Jobs**  
 Alaska Job Center Network  
*Where people and jobs connect.*

**America's Job Bank**  
 Direct job order input, resume search and resume scout.

**Job Order Options**  
 Call the job order office: 1-888-830-4473  
[On-line Job Recruitment Request](#)  
[Job Order Fax Form](#)  
[Alaska Job Centers](#)  
 Contact your nearest office.

**Seafood Industry Employers**  
 Employers in the seafood industry, please see the [Seafood Jobs](#) web site. Or go directly to the [Seafood Job Order Form](#).

**Welfare-to-Work**  
 To recruit Welfare-to-Work clients, call 1-888-838-JOBS (5627).

[Alaska Employer Handbook](#)   [Employment Related Posters](#)   [Unemployment Insurance](#)  
[Business Services](#)   [Employment Security Tax](#)   [Vocational Rehabilitation](#)  
[Employment Application \(.pdf\)](#)   [Occupational Safety and Health](#)   [Wage and Hour](#)  
[Employee Bonding](#)   [Tax Credits](#)   [Workers Compensation](#)

[Job Market Information](#)   [Job Centers](#)

**Alaska's Job Bank - Microsoft Internet Explorer**  
 Address: <http://www.labor.state.ak.us/esjobs/jobs>

**Jobs**  
 Alaska's Job Bank

Click on a region to display a list of ALL Alaska's Job Bank job openings in that area.

Or use the selection boxes below to search by region and/or job type.

Job listings are updated frequently each week day.

Map of Alaska showing regions: Northern, Interior, Anchorage Mat-Su, Southwest, Gulf Coast, Southeast.

select a region   select a job type

Select a region, a job type, or both then click...

[AJCN Home](#)   [Employer Connection](#)   [Job Seeker Resources](#)  
[Training & Assistance](#)   [Job Market Information](#)   [Job Centers](#)

**labor.state.ak.us**   **www.state.ak.us**  
 Department of Labor and Workforce Development   Welcome to the State of Alaska Online

ALASKA'S JOB BANK